

- 5 **Koren G**. Healthy children as subjects in pharmaceutical research. *Theor Med* 2003;**24**:149–59.
- 6 **Broom ME**, Richards DJ, Hall JM. Children in research: the experience of ill children and adolescents. *J Family Nurs* 2001;**7**:32–49.
- 7 **Dorn LD**, Susman EJ, Fletcher JC. Informed consent in children and adolescents: age, maturation and psychological state. *J Adolesc Health* 1995;**16**:185–90.
- 8 **Susman EJ**, Dorn LD, Fletcher JC. Participation in biomedical research: the consent process as viewed by children, adolescents, young adults, and physicians. *J Pediatr* 1992;**121**:547–52.
- 9 **Wille G**. *Pepino og hans familie*. Copenhagen: Pharmacia AB, Peptide Hormones, 1998.
- 10 **Harth SC**, Thong YH. Sociodemographic and motivational characteristics of parents who volunteer their children for clinical research: a controlled study. *BMJ* 1990;**300**:1372–5.
- 11 **Rothmeier JD**, Lasley MV, Shapiro GG. Factors influencing parental consent in pediatric clinical research. *Pediatrics* 2003;**111**:1037–41.
- 12 **Van Stuijvenberg M**, Suur MH, de Vos S, *et al*. Informed consent, parental awareness, and reasons for participating in a randomised controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child* 1998;**79**:120–5.
- 13 **Sikand A**, Schubiner H, Simpson PM. Parent and adolescent perceived need for parental consent involving research with minors. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1997;**151**:603–7.
- 14 **Tait A**, Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S. Do they understand it? (part I): parental consent for children participating in clinical anesthesia and surgery research. *Anesthesiology* 2003;**98**:603–8.
- 15 **Tait A**, Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S. Do they understand it? (part II): assent of children participating in clinical anesthesia and surgery research. *Anesthesiology* 2003;**98**:609–14.
- 16 **Ondrusek N**, Abramovitch R, Pencharz P, *et al*. Empirical examination of the ability of children to consent to clinical research. *J Med Ethics* 1998;**24**:158–65.
- 17 **Nelson R**, Reynolds W. Child assent and parental permission: a comment on Tait's "Do they understand?". *Anesthesiology* 2003;**98**:597–8.

Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence-based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Areas for which we are currently seeking contributors:

- Pregnancy and childbirth
- Endocrine disorders
- Palliative care
- Tropical diseases

We are also looking for contributors for existing topics. For full details on what these topics are please visit www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/index.jsp

However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:

- Selecting from a validated, screened search (performed by in-house Information Specialists) epidemiologically sound studies for inclusion.
- Documenting your decisions about which studies to include on an inclusion and exclusion form, which we keep on file.
- Writing the text to a highly structured template (about 1500–3000 words), using evidence from the final studies chosen, within 8–10 weeks of receiving the literature search.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* editors to ensure that the final text meets epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every 12 months using any new, sound evidence that becomes available. The *Clinical Evidence* in-house team will conduct the searches for contributors; your task is simply to filter out high quality studies and incorporate them in the existing text.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to CECommissioning@bmjgroup.com.

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and healthcare professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 1500–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and out turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days. If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/peerreviewer.jsp